



RECEIVED  
APR 04 2002  
Technology Center 2100

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of )  
GILBERT P. HYATT ) Group Art Unit 2315  
Serial No. 06/848,017 ) Examiner: Robert B. Harrell  
Docket No. 307 )  
Filed: April 3, 1986 )  
For: AN INTEGRATED CIRCUIT FILTER )  
PROCESSOR )

#30  
LST  
4-30-02

RESPONSE UNDER 37 CFR 1.111

Hon. Assistant Commissioner  
For Patents  
Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

In response to the Action dated September 28, 2001; the Applicant requests reconsideration for the reasons set forth hereinafter pursuant to 37 CFR 1.111.

I REMARKS

1.1 THE EXAMINER HAS FAILED TO PROVIDE THE REQUIRED "SUBSTANTIAL EVIDENCE" AND HAS FAILED TO ESTABLISH A PRIMA FACIE CASE TO COUNTER THE APPELLANT'S ENTITLEMENT TO A PATENT

The Applicant is entitled to a patent (Section 1.1.1). The Examiner is required to provide "substantial evidence" (Section 1.1.2) and to establish a prima facie case (Section 1.1.1) in order to challenge the Applicant's entitlement thereto. However, the Examiner has not provided the required "substantial evidence" and has not established a prima facie case. Instead, the